California Community Colleges 2016-17 Growth Model
Explanation of the Formula Methodology

Column X: <u>2014-15 Workload Increase</u>: Includes district's funded growth, unfunded growth, and stability restoration for 2014-15

Column Y: <u>2015-16 Workload Increase</u>: Includes district's funded growth, unfunded growth, and stability restoration for 2015-16

Column AA: <u>Need for Access</u>: Identifies the portion of the state that each district should be serving based on their need factors. Calculated as a weighted average of educational attainment at 50%, unemployment at 25%, and poverty at 25%.

Column AB: <u>Current Access</u>: The district's percent of state's total workload revenue. Percentage of students in the state that the district is actually serving.

Column AC: <u>Difference</u>: Difference between the district's need for access (column AA) and the percentage of the state the district is currently serving (column AB).

Column AD: <u>Only positive need</u>: This column identifies districts which have a greater need for access (based on the need factors) than what they are currently serving (positive number in column AC).

Column AF: <u>49.9% on Access</u>: 49.9% of the growth funding would be distributed proportionately based on districts current FTES dollars [calculated as: TCR - stability - basic allocation], all districts would receive the same growth rate.

Column AG: <u>50.1% Unmet Need</u>: 50.1% of the growth funding would be distributed to those districts which have a greater need for access than the percentage they are currently serving (see column AD).

Column AH: <u>Targeted Growth</u>: The sum of column AF and column AG, which would be the total growth funding a district would be eligible for without any constraints and without any reality check of current or prior year growth.

Column AI: <u>Weighted Growth</u>: The sum of columns X, Y, and AH, which would be the total growth funding a district is eligible for, which takes into consideration whether or not they grew in the current and prior years.

Column AK: <u>Pell Grant Awards</u>: The district's percent of total statewide annual Pell Grant awards. (Source: Chancellor's Office MIS)

Column AL: <u>Annual Headcount</u>: The district's percent of annual total statewide headcount. (Source: Chancellor's Office MIS)

Column AM: <u>Only Positive Difference</u>: Positive differences between column AK and column AL. Identifies districts which have a greater percentage of Pell students than their percentage of students statewide.

Column AN: <u>10% Additional Student Need</u>: An additional 10% of the Weighted Growth (column AI) funding would be distributed to those districts which have a greater percentage of Pell students than their percentage of students statewide (see column AM).

Column AP: <u>Unconstrained Growth</u>: The total growth funding a district would be eligible for if there was unlimited growth funding available. Sum of Weighted Growth (column AI) and Additional Student Need (column AN), which also subtracts the amount of stability restoration the district is entitled to in the upcoming fiscal year.

Column AU: <u>Growth Minimum</u>: Calculates the minimum growth funding eligibility for each district. Until a district reaches its highest level of apportionment revenues previously received, its minimum will be the lesser of 1% (of current year workload revenue), or one-half of the statewide growth allocation on a proportionate basis. After a district reaches its highest level of apportionment revenues previously received, its minimum will be the lesser of 0.5% (of current year workload revenue), or one-quarter of the statewide growth allocation on a proportionate basis.

Column AV: <u>Unconstrained Additional</u>: The unconstrained additional amount above the minimum each district has been allocated. This is the amount that each district would be eligible for above the minimum if there was unlimited growth funding.

Column AW: <u>Constrained Additional</u>: Column AV constrained to the additional amount of growth funding as provided in the Budget Act. This is the amount that each district would be eligible for above the minimum constrained based on the amount of growth funding provided in the budget act.

Column AY: <u>2016-17 Growth Target</u>: The sum of each district's minimum (column AU) and the constrained additional growth funding (with $0 allocated to the community supported districts) (column AW) they would be eligible for. This is the growth cap for each district.

Column AZ: <u>% Growth</u>: The year-over-year rate of growth for the growth allocation calculated in column AY.