



**September 13th – 14th, 2010 Board of Governors
Board Meeting Minutes**

September 13, 2010

Call to Order

Board of Governors President Deborah Malumed called the meeting to order at 9:37 a.m.

Board of Governors Members Attendance

X	Manuel Baca	X	Peter MacDougall
X	Geoffrey Baum	X	Robert McDonald
	Nadia Davis-Lockyer	X	Alice Perez
X	Barbara Davis-Lyman	X	Michelle Price
X	Benita Haley	X	Henry A.J. Ramos
X	Scott Himelstein	X	Gary Reed
X	Lance Izumi	X	Tanna Thomas
X	Deborah Malumed		

President’s Report

President Deborah Malumed:

1. Thanked the Capitol Staff for all of their help organizing the Board meeting.
2. She has been lobbying for California’s community colleges.

Chancellor’s Report

Chancellor Jack Scott:

1. He attended the Centennial Celebration at Fresno City College.
2. He continues to speak at and attend several events around the state.
3. He appeared at a Los Angeles Chamber of Commerce event with California State University Chancellor Charles Reed and University of California President Mark Yudof to discuss higher education in California.
4. He is working on getting Senate confirmation for Board members Henry Ramos and Peter MacDougall.
5. There are several bills on the Governor’s desk that are important to community colleges. (SB 1440 and SB 1143).



September 13th – 14th, 2010 Board of Governors Board Meeting Minutes

6. He spoke to the Board about the complaint that was filed with the Department of Education concerning the process used by the Accreditation Commission when selecting commissioners.
7. He resigned from the Board of Directors of the California Chamber of Commerce.
8. He will be traveling to China in October.

Board Comments

Scott Himelstein, Alice Perez, Peter MacDougall

Item 1 Special Presentation

Item 1.1 Resolution

Presented by Deborah Malumed

This item presented a resolution to former Board member Pauline Larwood.

Board Comments

Geoffrey Baum

Item 2 Consent Calendar

Consent Calendar items were presented to the Board of Governors by Board President Deborah Malumed. Board member Lance Izumi motioned for approval of the Consent Calendar. The motion was seconded by Board member Robert McDonald. The votes were unanimous in favor of the motion.

Item 2.1 Approval of the Minutes

This item requested Board approval of the July 12-13, 2010, Board and joint meeting minutes.

Item 3 Action

Item 3.1 Approval of Contracts and Grants

Presented by: Steve Bruckman

Executive Vice Chancellor Steve Bruckman presented this item to the Board.

Board member Robert McDonald motioned for the Board to take the recommended action on this item. The motion was seconded by Board member Alice Perez. The votes were unanimous in support of the motion.



September 13th – 14th, 2010 Board of Governors Board Meeting Minutes

Item 3.2 Proposed Title 5 Regulation Revisions for Facilities Utilization and Space Standards

Presented by: Frederick Harris

This item requested that the Board approve the changes to title 5 sections 57020-32 and adopt the new *Policy on Utilization and Space Standards* for California Community Colleges.

Board member Geoffrey Baum motioned for the Board to take the recommended action on this item. The motion was seconded by Board member Manuel Baca. The votes were unanimous in support of the motion.

Item 3.3 2011-12 Systemwide Five-Year Capital Outlay Plan

Presented by: Frederick Harris

Assistant Vice Chancellor Frederick Harris reviewed the Five-Year Capital Outlay Plan with the Board. He explained that the five-year plan is a statement of need and not necessarily a funding plan and even though there wasn't a bond in 2008 and most likely there won't be a bond in 2010 it's important to go through the process and discuss what our needs are as a system.

Board members had the following questions/comments and staff responded.

1. Are we just approving the plan today? Will the funding part of the plan come back to us at a later time?

Yes to both questions.

2. In *Attachment A* under the educational master plan, it specifies what must be included in the local educational master plans. Do you envision a mechanism in place or are there currently, where these items are validated at the Chancellor's Office level?

I would not recommend adding any additional state reporting requirements in an area that is already exceedingly complex. This effort for planning is a partnership with the districts. The point that we were trying to represent in the Board item and Attachments is that the development of the projects and plans are a joint effort between the districts and Chancellor's Office staff, and that there are certain requirements for the districts to consider as they develop the plans.

3. In the context of incentivizing local innovation or initiative, to what extent can we or should we as a Board of Governors and through the Chancellor's Office be encouraging the local institutions to identify non-building options for usage? Is that something that is worthy of exploration, is that something that we are prohibited of considering.

That is already being done by districts to meet the needs of their communities. There are numerous off-site centers that meet at high schools, strip malls, etc. that occurs throughout the state. That is not prohibited but for eligibility of state capital outlay dollars there is a requirement of having control over the space.



September 13th – 14th, 2010 Board of Governors Board Meeting Minutes

4. You mentioned the partnership with the shared governance process and the local districts developing the plans. Is every district required to have a master plan on file with you?
No, there isn't a state requirement to have a master plan on file with the Chancellor's Office. Generally master planning and acquisition of sites is a local expense.
5. Do districts file master plans with you?
Generally they do. What's required in statute is the filing of the five-year plan which is a derivative of that.
6. Does every district have a five-year capital outlay plan?
They are all required to provide a five-year plan if they want to receive state capital outlay dollars.
7. What percent of districts have active plans right now?
Of the 72 districts, 69-70 have active plans.

Board member Lance Izumi motioned for the Board to take the recommended action on this item. The motion was second by Board member Alice Perez. The votes were unanimous in favor of the motion.

Board Comments

Alice Perez, Tanna Thomas, Henry Ramos, Geoffrey Baum

Item 4 Information and Reports

Item 4.1 Update on the Activities of the Foundation for California Community Colleges

Presented by: Paul Lanning and Keetha Mills

Dr. Paul Lanning, President/CEO, and Keetha Mills, Chief Financial Officer of the Foundation for California Community Colleges, updated the Board on the following items:

1. The October 13-15 Fall Symposium in Rancho Mirage
2. The financial year end for the Foundation's performance for Fiscal Year 2009-10. The financials are still being finalized in terms of the formal audit but the unaudited financial was reviewed with the Board.

Board members had the following questions/comments and staff responded.

1. The Early College High School program sponsored by the Gates Foundation ended in June 2010, can you tell me if that is going to continue in anyway? Also how would you characterize results of the program?



September 13th – 14th, 2010 Board of Governors Board Meeting Minutes

We are currently working with Vice Chancellor Barry Russell and Specialist Sally Lenz who oversee the middle college consortium for the Chancellor's Office. We are looking at how to align the interest of both programs into a single collaborative effort that would continue the communication and leadership from the Chancellor's Office in communicating with those early college and middle college programs throughout the system. After a middle college meeting is called for this fall, we are hoping that we can convene all the early college and middle college administrators together for an overall look at where both those programs are headed and where current policy is headed in terms of some of the issues that these programs face. The hope is that we can continue to advise and collaborate with these sites.

In terms of the results, many students are graduating from high school at the same time that they are earning an associate's degree. There are many cases of students who would be at risk of dropping out of high school all together instead they are graduating, some with multiple associate degrees, and are on their way to some of the leading four-year institutions in the country.

We have worked with K-12 and community college sites to try to get them to continue these relationships and the concurrent enrollment strategies that are in place at the local level. There are many of these cases where there is funding allocated by the high school district to continue these programs. There are a couple of instances that we know of currently where that formal enrollment partnership will be disbanded due to a lack of funds. But the correlation between the activities at the two sites is going to continue even in cases where the formal partnership has ended.

2. The College Buys Program shows an increase in revenue that was attributed to software rebates. Do you see the rebates as something sustainable?
The rebates are connected to increase usage. So the more units that are sold the higher the rebates. We do see this as an area of continued growth and opportunity. In addition to software rebates, we also offer furniture rebates. We feel that this might be one of our biggest opportunities going forward.
3. With the Career Pathway Program, I noticed that a lot of the contracts were with the state. Are we working to establish relationships with the public sector?
Yes we are. In recent months we've seen an interest in the career pathways program from existing employers and new partnerships as well that are not necessarily contingent on the state budget. Some agencies have been able to allocate funds or contract with us as a result of their need to be more efficient in their hiring practices. We see this as a strong growth area that could continue to grow not just in the Sacramento area but throughout the state.



September 13th – 14th, 2010 Board of Governors Board Meeting Minutes

4. Are you finding good adoption rates with the College Buys Program throughout the state?
The best opportunity for students to learn about this program is through the faculty members when they are handing out the syllabus for their class. That is a good opportunity to let students know about the price breaks for software that is a class requirement. Our efforts have been to make students aware statewide through the student senate and other organizations, but also working with faculty at the local colleges too. The College Buys Program is open to all registered community college students in the state and to faculty and all staff. So anyone who is employed or attending a community college in this state qualifies for this program.
5. Can we have an update on where the Osher Grant challenge stands?
Currently we have just over \$50 million in the endowment total.
6. On the handout under business development, it mentions revenues and expenses are over budget due to the purchase of public relations software licensing. Can you provide some details on that?
There was a software program purchased in partnership with the Chancellors' Office and we offered it to the Public Information Officer's across the entire community college system.
7. What was the Osher Grant contributions per college based on?
The contributions per college were based on full-time equivalent students (FTES).
8. How does FTES measure in terms of the abilities for some of those districts to be able to reach out into their communities?
It was not correlated with that. It was simply that the Osher Foundation wanted to make sure that it reached as equitable as possible to student populations at every college in the state so we correlated the college-by-college goals with the FTES number. However those goals have now been essentially removed and any college can participate at any level that they are able to.

Board Comments

Scott Himmelstein, Alice Perez, Geoffrey Baum, Michelle Price, Tanna Thomas, Benita Haley, Manuel Baca

Item 3 Action

Item 3.4 2011-12 System Budget Proposal

Presented by: Erik Skinner

Executive Vice Chancellor Erik Skinner presented the *2011-12 System Budget Proposal* to the Board.

1. Thanked everyone who was involved in the budget workgroup.
2. Request focuses on the basic needs of the college.



September 13th – 14th, 2010 Board of Governors Board Meeting Minutes

3. Request will restore the capacity level of the colleges to their pre-cut levels in 2008-09 with some adjustments to cost of living and enrollment growth
4. Three elements of the total funding request of \$916,787,000:
 - a. Restoration of the student support/categorical programs.
 - b. Cost-of-Living Adjustments – Restore base spending power of the community colleges
 - c. Enrollment Growth
5. The budget workgroup also spent a lot of time talking about advocacy efforts and strategies.

Board members had the following questions/comments and staff responded.

1. At times it's a little confusing when we speak of students and full-time equivalent students. *There are approximately 340,000 student headcounts (200,000 serving that are unfunded and 140,000 that are turned away). We are finding a place for 200,000 that are un-funded. The ratio that we use is 2.2 head count students equal approximately one FTES. This budget proposal combined with the 2.2 percent enrollment funding that we are hoping to get in 2010-11 would fund about 185,000 head count. It doesn't get us all the way there but it's a significant step forward.*
2. Have any other state funded agencies received a COLA during this same period?
Essentially COLA has not been provided.
3. The last two years we put in request to restore our budget. What was our success, has anything been restored to us?
In 2009-10 we did not, we were cut roughly 8 percent. The 2010-11 budget hasn't been determined yet.
4. Is there an opportunity to streamline any of the areas that we are duplicating? Was there any discussion on this in the Budget Workgroup?
There was a conversation about that in the budget workgroup. The overwhelming funding is provided to our districts in an individual format. Roughly 7-10 percent of the funding that we send out to the colleges is earmarked. Approximately 90 percent of the funding is general purpose, so local boards determine how that money is being spent.
5. Members of the Board and several speakers have mentioned that some of the student services programs may be under budgeted given the significant cuts they have taken in recent years. Is there flexibility to move some of the numbers around to adjust for that or to increase the budget request moderately to accommodate some of those legitimate and appropriate concerns?



September 13th – 14th, 2010 Board of Governors Board Meeting Minutes

My guidance on that would be that this document is a starting point for a conversation and I think seeking full restoration for those programs is a strong clear initial statement of priorities coming from the Board. As we continue to negotiate on the budget and move forward there will be an opportunity to shift and reiterate priorities. My guidance would be that full funding is a strong statement.

Board member Geoffrey Baum motioned for the Board to take the recommended action on this item. Board member Lance Izumi seconded the motion. The votes were unanimous in favor of the motion.

Board Comments

Manuel Baca, Peter MacDougall, Geoffrey Baum, Alice Perez, Lance Izumi, Robert McDonald, Henry Ramos

Public Comments

Jonathan Lightman, Will Bruce, Alex Pader, Ron Norton Reel

Item 5 First Reading

Item 5.1 Nomination of Board Officers

Presented by: Deborah Malumed

The following Board members were nominated for Board officers:

President – Deborah Malumed and Scott Himmelstein

Vice President – Alice Perez

California Postsecondary Education Commission (CPEC) Representative – Peter MacDougall

CPEC Alternate – Geoffrey Baum

Item 4 Information and Reports

Item 4.2 Presentation on Commission on Athletics

Presented by: Barry Russell and Carlyle Carter (Handout)

Carlyle Carter, CEO/President of the California Community College Athletic Association, spoke to the Board about intercollegiate athletics at California community colleges and how athletic programs impact students. He also spoke to the Board about what the California Community College Athletic Association and member colleges have done to help reduce cost.

Board members had the following questions/comments and staff responded.



September 13th – 14th, 2010 Board of Governors Board Meeting Minutes

1. Can you comment on how you've seen title 9 progress over the last 10 years?
I'm pleased to say that I've seen a significant amount of movement in focusing on title 9 and compliance with it.
2. Where are you in the process with the program that you started with the NCAA to work with transfers to the four-year university?
We met with the division one academic cabinet and the NCAA committee on academic performance. I've had a proposal in front of them for three years that would allow some accommodation for the student athlete that needs remediation. The proposal that I put before them says that if a student has 50 percent or more of their units in remedial courses don't start the 5-year clock allow them to improve themselves academically. I feel confident that in the next year we will see some legislation from them that will address this at risk student.
3. How can we assist you as a Board with policy and can you articulate why an intercollegiate program contributes to student success?
In many cases some students wouldn't be exposed to higher education if it were not for their motivation to play sports. As far as what the Board can do, I'd like to come back to you with an academic performance report that shows what's going on within the community college system.

Board Comments

Tanna Thomas, Manuel Baca, Robert McDonald, Geoffrey Baum, Barbara Davis-Lyman, Alice Perez

Item 4.3 2010-11 State Budget Update

Presented by: Erik Skinner

Erik Skinner, Executive Vice Chancellor of Programs, updated the Board on the *2010-11 State Budget*. He reported that:

1. Not much progress has been made since the last Board meeting.
2. End of session came and went without a state budget in place.
3. Governor Schwarzenegger is in China on a trade mission.
4. The Legislative Analyst Office has a tax swap plan that would increase personal income tax and the vehicle license fee but reduce state sales tax in a manner that is neutral to California tax payers.
5. There has been talk about borrowing against the CalPERS fund.



September 13th – 14th, 2010 Board of Governors Board Meeting Minutes

6. Community colleges continue to be a priority for the Legislature.
7. The Chancellor's Office has not been able to send out any payments to colleges since the beginning of this fiscal year.
8. Missed payments include \$118 million in July, \$277 million in August and if there is no budget \$447 million in September. These payments represent 15 percent of the community college payments that have been held up because of the state budget delay.
9. If we move into October without a budget it's possible that community colleges may show signs of fiscal stress.
10. Colleges are doing a great job of weathering the storm.

Board members applauded everyone at the colleges for their stamina during this tough fiscal time.

Board Comments

Benita Haley

Item 4.4 State and Federal Update

Presented by: Marlene Garcia (Handouts)

Vice Chancellor Marlene Garcia discussed state legislative activities.

State Update

1. Two-year session adjourned August 31st at midnight.
2. Most of the systems priorities bills were addressed prior to the last two nights of session.

State Legislation Highlights

Senate Bill 1440 (Padilla) California Community Colleges: Student Transfer – This bill was unanimously approved by both houses of the Legislature and now awaits action by the Governor. This bill requires a community college district to grant an associate degree for transfer to a student in that student's field of study once a student has met degree and transfer requirements for a particular major. Upon completion of the transfer associate degree, the student is eligible for transfer with junior standing into the California State University (CSU) system. Students will be given priority consideration when applying to a particular program that is similar to the student's community college area of emphasis. The bill prohibits a community college district or campus from adding local course requirements in addition to requirements of the Student Transfer Achievement Reform Act, and prohibits the CSU from



September 13th – 14th, 2010 Board of Governors Board Meeting Minutes

requiring a transferring student to repeat courses similar to those taken at the community college that counted toward the associate degree for transfer. The Board was a cosponsor of this bill.

Assembly Bill 2302 (Fong) Postsecondary Education: Student Transfer – This bill is intended to complement the transfer pathway program that would be established through SB 1440 (Padilla). Recent amendments to AB 2302 require the Chancellor’s Office of the California Community Colleges and the California State University to collaboratively develop the most effective methods of advising students, college advisors, and parents of the new transfer pathway via the Internet website and other means of communication. California Community Colleges and California State University faculty are directed to consider existing local articulation agreements in developing the new associate degree for transfer. AB 2302 also requires the Chancellor’s Office to establish a process to facilitate the identification of courses that satisfy lower division preparation requirements throughout the community colleges system and to include a description of this process in a report to be submitted to the Legislature. The Board’s position on this bill is *Support*.

Senate Bill 1143 (Liu) Community College Funding – This bill has been amended to direct the Board of Governors of the California Community Colleges to convene a task force to examine best practices and models throughout the nation for gauging, promoting and improving student success within California’s Community Colleges. The Board is required to report to the Legislature no later than March 1, 2012, on its proposed plan to improve student success and completion. The Board’s position on this bill is *Support*.

Assembly Bill 2682 (Block) Community Colleges: Student Assessments: Pilot Project – This bill was unanimously approved by the Legislature and sent to the Governor. This bill requires the Board of Governors to establish a pilot project with the goal of creating a set of centralized common assessments in English, Math, and English as a Second Language. Colleges will be asked to use these online, common assessment tools at a fraction of the cost of their current assessments. These assessments are used for placement and advising. The Board of Governors is directed to convene an advisory committee for the pilot projects and report on specified progress by February 28, 2011. The Department of Finance opposes AB 2682. Their analysis states that the bill is unnecessary, and that efficiencies and greater savings could be gained if the project used the Early Assessment Program. Staff has been working with the Department of Finance on amendments to address their concerns. The Board is a sponsor of this legislation.

Assembly Bill 827 (De La Torre) Local Public Employees Contracts – This bill prohibits a local agency contract for “*excluded employees” executed or renewed on or after January 1, 2011, from containing

- An automatic contract renewal.
- An automatic compensation increase that exceeds a cost-of-living adjustment.



September 13th – 14th, 2010 Board of Governors Board Meeting Minutes

- An automatic compensation increase that is linked to a third-party contract, including agreements under the Meyers-Milias-Brown Act or the Education Code's employee relations provisions.
- A severance payment greater than the amount allowed by current law.

The bill also requires that the results of the performance review for exempt employees be summarized in an open session of the governing board before a compensation increase greater than the consumer price index is approved (any raise after January 1, 2011).

*An excluded employee is a person who is or will be employed by, and report directly to, the legislative body of a local governing board that is not subject to the Meyers-Milias-Brown Act and the Public Records Act.

AB 827 was amended, deleting the requirement to hold public meetings on performance reviews. The August 27th amendment permits discussion regarding performance evaluations to take place under closed session. The author will submit a letter to the Assembly Journal clarifying that this bill only applies to community college district chancellors and superintendents/presidents of single college districts. The Board did not take a position on this legislation.

Assembly Bill 194 (Torrico) Retirement: Local Employees – This bill caps retirement compensation for employees hired after January 1, 2011, under either the State Teachers' Retirement System or Public Employees' Retirement System for any employee at 125 percent of the compensation of the Governor in 2009 which was \$173,987 (125 percent equals \$217,483). The cap does not place a limit on salary levels, but on pensionable salary. The Board did not take a position on this legislation.

Senate Bill 1460 (Cedillo) Student Financial Aid: Eligibility – This bill establishes the California Dream Act of 2010 and provides that a person who is eligible under Assembly Bill 540 provisions is limited to eligibility to apply for institutional financial aid and scholarships derived from non-state funds, beginning January 1, 2011. AB 540 students would not be eligible to apply for state aid, i.e. the Cal Grant program. Under AB 540, non-resident fees are waived for students who have attended a California school three or more years, one of these years must be in high school, and graduated from a California secondary school. The Board did not take a position on this legislation.

Vice Chancellor Marlene Garcia updated the Board on Federal Government Relations.

Federal Update

1. Valerie Purnell, Assistant Vice Chancellor of Federal Relations, is in Washington D.C. meeting with members of Congress, the Department of Education and the Department of Labor.



September 13th – 14th, 2010 Board of Governors Board Meeting Minutes

1. The Veterans Earmark that was introduced by Senator Diane Feinstein was approved by the Senate appropriations committee. The next step is for this earmark to be heard at a conference committee that looks at all the earmarks that were approved by both houses. There will be a final vote on all the earmarks at a later date. The Chancellor's Office feels that this earmark has a good chance of gaining final approval. This earmark will highlight some of the exemplary veterans programs from around the state and identify up and coming programs to help them build. It will also allow the California Community College System to develop a data entry system to track veterans.
2. We are still waiting to confirm the date for the Community College Summit that will take place in Washington D.C. Assistant Vice Chancellor Purnell will provide an update once she returns from Washington D.C.

The Chancellor's Office task force on legislation will meet in October to develop a legislative package for the Board to look at and possibly sponsor.

Board Comments

Alice Perez, Manuel Baca

Public Comments

Dennis Frisch

Item 4.5 Presentation on Title 5 Regulations: Policies for Prerequisites, Co-Requisites, and Advisories

Presented by: Barry Russell, Jane Patton, and Paul Steenhausen (Handout)

Vice Chancellor Barry Russell began the discussion by framing prerequisites around student success. He named many statewide programs that have been developed to help support students. He also spoke about the course approval process.

Jane Patton, President of the Academic Senate, discussed a previous PowerPoint presentation with the Board (this PowerPoint is available in the July *Agenda*). She also stated that if prerequisites are applied effectively more students will be successful and it will help cut down on the number of students who have to repeat course and increase the number who graduate and transfer.

Jane Patton also provided the board with a handout that replied to several of the questions raised by various groups that have concerns about the title 5 changes to prerequisites, co-requisites, and advisories.

Paul Steenhausen spoke to the Board about the LAO's perspective on the proposed changes to the title 5 regulations regarding prerequisites, co-requisites, and advisories.



**September 13th – 14th, 2010 Board of Governors
Board Meeting Minutes**

Board members had the following questions/comments and the panel responded.

1. Board members thanked the Academic Senate for their work on this item.
2. Board members expressed concerns over the availability of prerequisite classes.
3. When you bring forward your recommendations, please incorporate the concerns the Board has expressed over accessibility for basic skills, how outcomes will be measured if the Board were to implement this, and the importance of providing counseling for students so that they have the support structure that they need.
4. In the longer term, the K-12 and community college systems should be less separate and more singular to help mitigate the problem.
5. How many faculty members does the community college have that are teaching basic skills right now and are we going to have support from the faculty once we get this rolled out?
The Academic Senate represents the faculty at all 112 colleges. Based on the resolutions that have been passed at our plenary sessions there will be strong faculty support.

Board Comments

Manuel Baca, Michelle Price, Gary Reed, Lance Izumi, Alice Perez, Barbara Davis-Lyman, Peter MacDougall, Henry Ramos, Benita Haley

Public Comments

Scott Lay, Alex Pader, Will Bruce, Michele Pilati, Rachael Richards, Jonathan Lightman

Public Forum

New Business

No new business was discussed at this meeting.

Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 4:31 p.m. by Board of Governors President Deborah Malumed.

September 14, 2010

Call to Order

Board of Governors President Deborah Malumed called the meeting to order at 9:15 a.m.

Board of Governors Members Attendance

X	Manuel Baca	X	Peter MacDougall
---	-------------	---	------------------



September 13th – 14th, 2010 Board of Governors
Board Meeting Minutes

X	Geoffrey Baum	X	Robert McDonald
	Nadia Davis-Lockyer		Alice Perez
X	Barbara Davis-Lyman	X	Michelle Price
X	Benita Haley	X	Henry A.J. Ramos
X	Scott Himelstein	X	Gary Reed
X	Lance Izumi	X	Tanna Thomas
X	Deborah Malumed		

Item 5 First Reading

Item 5.2 Selection of the Campus Site for the November 2011 Board of Governors Meeting

Presented by: Jack Scott

Chancellor Scott spoke to the Board about the criteria used to select a campus for the November 2011 meeting. He discussed having the November 2011 meeting at the following locations:

1. Foothill-DeAnza
2. Ohlone College
3. College of the Redwoods
4. Santa Rosa Junior College
5. Fresno City College
6. Cuesta College

Suggestions from the Board included College of the Desert and having two campus site visits a year.

Board Comments

Scott Himelstein, Michelle Price, Geoffrey Baum

Item 5.3 Board of Governors meeting Dates for 2012

Presented by: Steve Bruckman

Executive Vice Chancellor Steve Bruckman discussed meeting dates for 2012 with the Board. The Board discussed the November 2012 meeting date that is tentatively scheduled for Election Day. The Board suggested Tuesday, November 13, and Wednesday, November 14, as a first choice, and Thursday, November 8, and Friday, November 9, as a second choice.

Item 6 Information and Reports

Item 6.1 Report on Final 2009-10 Enrollments

Presented by: Patrick Perry (1 Handout)



September 13th – 14th, 2010 Board of Governors Board Meeting Minutes

Vice Chancellor Patrick Perry discussed 2009-10 enrollments with the Board including information on student headcount and course offerings.

Board members had the following questions/comments and staff responded:

1. Would like to know if a communication from this Board can go to the two gubernatorial candidates informing them about what has happened, the impact of what we see, and ask them if they would be kind enough to share their position on community colleges and how they might move to deal with some of these deficiencies.
Chancellor Scott will take care of this request.
2. It appears to be more than a 50 percent decrease in manufacturing and industrial technology. It seems alarming at a time when people will be looking for jobs. Do you have any insight as to why there is a sharp decline?
Staff will look into that and report back to the Board.
3. Vice Chancellor José Millan provided some information on the question listed above. It is true that manufacturing has been on the decline in the state for a number of years. We are now seeing a resurgence of manufacturing particularly in foreign based manufacturing concerns.
4. As we analyze trends in our system do we do any forward forecasting as to what the K-12 enrollment trends will be five years out etc.?
That information is included in our projections.

Board Comments

Peter MacDougall, Geoffrey Baum, Tanna Thomas, Gary Reed



September 13th – 14th, 2010 Board of Governors Board Meeting Minutes

New Employees were introduced to the Board.

Item 6.2 Veterans Committee Report

Presented by: Robert McDonald and Manuel Baca

Board members Robert McDonald and Manuel Baca presented a proposed report on Student Veterans in the California Community College System to the Board. At their February 2010 retreat the Board identified Veteran's Issues as a goal for the year. Board members Robert McDonald and Manuel Baca working on behalf of the Board of Governors on the Board's Veterans Committee developed a report outline and brought this information to the Board to give them an opportunity to provide feedback and make suggestion on anything that they would like to see included in the report. The report as presented outlined several items that the Veteran's Committee would like to see address in a final report issued from the Chancellor's Office on behalf of the Board of Governors. The Veteran's Committee has already planned a panel discussion at the Community College League of California's Convention in Pasadena, CA, in November 2010. They are preparing and planning a Community College Veteran's Summit for Spring 2011.

Board members thanked members McDonald and Baca for their work on the Veterans Committee. Board members also inquired about what the next steps would be for finishing this report. Board members McDonald and Baca stated that this report is a blue print of ideas and that they are looking forward to working with the staff at the Chancellor's Office to fully develop and finish the report.

Chancellor Scott stated that staff will continue to respond to this report and will make a formal response at an upcoming Board meeting.

Board Comments

Henry Ramos, Geoffrey Baum, Benita Haley, Barbara Davis-Lyman, Tanna Thomas

Item 6.3 Task Force on Student Success

Presented by: Jack Scott

Chancellor Scott discussed Senate Bill 1143 and the makeup of the student success task force with the Board. This bill requires the Board to present a report to the Legislature on March 1, 2012, on improving student success and completion within the community college system.

The task force will be:

1. Under the direction of the Board of Governors.
2. Made up of individuals within the community college system and individuals outside the system with an interest in community colleges.



September 13th – 14th, 2010 Board of Governors Board Meeting Minutes

3. Looking at best practices around the country and providing suggestions on implementing those practices within the community college system.
4. Possibly funded by different Foundations.

Chancellor Scott also discussed the future of the task force after March 2012.

Board members had the following questions/comments and the panel responded.

1. When you come back to us with recommendations, will those recommendations include the makeup of the task force and number of participants, or will it be recommendations on topics and outcomes or all inclusive?
I hope to come to you with recommendations of the composition of the task force.
2. There should be a full-time leader for the task force to coordinate and pull this task force together. It would be helpful to have some specifics of what the composition of the task force might be and some alternatives to that. It would also be helpful to have an idea of what the budget might be at the minimum and what we think we might need as a budget to be successful.
3. It's important to include a student's perspective on the task force.

Board Comments

Scott Himelstein, Peter MacDougall, Geoffrey Baum, Michelle Price

Item 6.4 Board Member Reports

Geoffrey Baum:

1. Will be the master of ceremony at the inauguration of the new Superintendent/President of Pasadena City College on September 15th. All Board members are welcome to attend.

Gary Reed:

1. Spoke to the Board about his role on the Foundation's Board of Directors.
2. Attended Back to School Day at his local community college and spoke with faculty about the role of the Board and Foundation.



September 13th – 14th, 2010 Board of Governors Board Meeting Minutes

Tanna Thomas:

1. Attended CSEA community college symposium.
2. Spoke to the Board about the League's Commission on the Future. The League will be conducting informational workshop throughout the state. The intent of these workshops is to provide community college leaders with an overview of the goals laid out by the report and an opportunity to have input before the report is finalized.

Lance Izumi:

1. Will be moderating a panel sponsored by the U.S. Army at Mt. San Antonio College in October on the issue of counseling.

Henry Ramos:

1. Has been invited to serve on the Foundation Board for Cuesta College.
2. Has also been serving on the Foundation's Board with Board member Gary Reed.
3. Had an opportunity to have an informative meeting with members of the student senate.
4. Thanked Chancellor Jack Scott and Vice Chancellor Marlene Garcia for their help and guidance through the confirmation process.

Scott Himelstein:

1. Thanked the Chancellor and staff for arranging the meeting at the Capitol.

Deborah Malumed:

1. Has met with Jane Patton, President of the Academic Senate, to talk about prerequisites.
2. Has reached out to Theodore Mitchell, President of the State Board of Education, to discuss next steps but she hasn't heard back from him.
3. A reception will be held at the League's convention in Pasadena to meet the Board. The reception will be on Friday, November 19, 2010, from 7:00 p.m. until 9:00 p.m.



September 13th – 14th, 2010 Board of Governors Board Meeting Minutes

Barbara Davis-Lyman:

1. Visited Cuyamaca College and was warmly received by the staff.
2. Met a student who goes to two different community colleges in the central coast area because one college will not accept the math transfer course from the other college.

Michelle Price

1. Will be in the Fall issue of *Affinity Magazine*.
2. The Student Senate is gathering applications for the second student seat on the Board.
3. The Student Senate General Assembly will be in San Diego from Oct 29-31.

Robert McDonald

1. Will be speaking at the Region VIII Veterans Event, the Honor a Hero, Hire a Vet event sponsored by the Employment Development Department and the Student Senate Retreat for the Coast Community College District.
2. The Orange County Black Chamber of Commerce will be hosting their annual reception/dinner on Thursday, September 23. The event will honor Ding-Jo Currie, Chancellor for the Coast Community College District, and Ned Doffoney, Chancellor for the North Orange County Community College District.
3. Thanked Board President Deborah Malumed for having a reception to meet the Board at the League's Convention at the Pasadena Convention Center on Friday, November 19th, from 7:00 p.m. – 9:00 p.m. He also invited everyone to attend the Veterans Panel on Saturday, November 20, at 8:30 a.m.

Public Forum

Jane Patton, President of the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges – spoke to the Board about the Academic Senate Fall Plenary Session being held from November 11-13, 2010, in Anaheim, CA. The Academic Senate will also be hosting a basic skills conference for faculty in February in San Jose.



**September 13th – 14th, 2010 Board of Governors
Board Meeting Minutes**

Board member Peter MacDougall – spoke to the Board about the national standards that the State Board of Education recently adopted.

New Business

Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 11:23 a.m. by Board of Governors President Deborah Malumed.