

**California Community Colleges
2017-18 Capital Outlay Spending Plan ¹**

District	College/Center	Project Name	State	Local
<u>Cat. A Health & Safety</u>				
Pasadena CCD	Pasadena City College	Armen Sarafain Building Seismic Replacement	\$ 3,907,000	\$ 151,000
San Francisco CCD	Alemany Center	Seismic and Code Upgrade	\$ 1,239,000	\$ -
San Francisco CCD	City College of San Francisco	Utility Infrastructure Replacement	\$ 5,233,000	\$ -
Total Health & Safety			\$ 10,379,000	\$ 151,000
<u>Cat. B Growth</u>				
Allan Hancock Joint CCD	Allan Hancock College	Fine Arts Complex	\$ 1,658,000	\$ 1,658,000
Coast CCD	Golden West College	Language Arts Complex	\$ 1,566,000	\$ 1,567,000
Coast CCD	Orange Coast College	Language Arts & Social Sciences Building	\$ 2,064,000	\$ 2,064,000
Long Beach CCD	Liberal Arts Campus	Multi-Disciplinary Facility Replacement ²	\$ 26,160,000	\$ 25,589,000
Los Rios CCD	Natomas Education Center	Natomas Center Phase 2 and 3	\$ 841,000	\$ 1,753,000
Mt. San Jacinto CCD	Menifee Valley Center	Math and Sciences Building	\$ 1,609,000	\$ 2,055,000
Peralta CCD	Laney College	Learning Resource Center	\$ 1,541,000	\$ 3,433,000
Santa Clarita CCD	Canyon Country Educational Center	Science Building	\$ 196,000	\$ 947,000
Santa Monica CCD	Santa Monica College	Math/Science Addition	\$ 2,594,000	\$ 2,596,000
Sonoma County JCD	Santa Rosa Junior College	Science & Mathematics Replacement	\$ 2,217,000	\$ 2,219,000
West Hills CCD	North District Center	Center Expansion	\$ 2,149,000	\$ 882,000
Total Growth (point range 150-160)			\$ 42,595,000	\$ 44,763,000
<u>Cat. C Modernization</u>				
Cabrillo CCD	Cabrillo College	Modernization of Buildings 500, 600 & 1600	\$ 230,000	\$ 251,000
El Camino CCD	Compton Center	Instructional Building 2 Replacement	\$ 1,286,000	\$ 674,000
Imperial Valley CCD	Imperial Valley College	Academic Buildings Modernization	\$ 733,000	\$ 733,000
Long Beach CCD	Pacific Coast Campus	Construction Trades 1	\$ 596,000	\$ 487,000
North Orange County CCD	Fullerton College	Business 300 & Humanities 500 Buildings Modernization	\$ 1,263,000	\$ 1,264,000
Rancho Santiago CCD	Santa Ana College	Russell Hall Replacement	\$ 1,535,000	\$ 1,534,000
San Mateo County CCD	College of San Mateo	Building 9 Library	\$ 914,000	\$ 1,090,000
San Mateo County CCD	Skyline College	Workforce and Economic Development Prosperity Center	\$ 756,000	\$ 1,530,000
Sequoias CCD	College of the Sequoias	Basic Skills Center	\$ 1,249,000	\$ -
Solano CCD	Solano College	Library Building 100 Replacement	\$ 2,755,000	\$ -
West Valley-Mission CCD	Mission College	MT Portables Replacement Building	\$ 744,000	\$ 742,000
Total Modernization (point range 140-169)			\$ 12,061,000	\$ 8,305,000
<u>Cat. D Complete Campus</u>				
Monterey Peninsula CCD	Fort Ord Center	Ft. Ord Public Safety Center - Ph1	\$ 661,000	\$ 661,000
Mt. San Antonio CCD	Mt. San Antonio College	New Physical Education Complex	\$ 3,290,000	\$ 861,000
Peralta CCD	Merritt College	Child Development Center	\$ 404,000	\$ 966,000
Yuba CCD	Yuba College	Performing Arts Facility	\$ 1,275,000	\$ 1,275,000
Total Complete Campus (point range 149-167)			\$ 5,630,000	\$ 3,763,000
2017-18 TOTAL - PW ONLY			\$ 70,665,000	\$ 56,982,000
TOTAL - PWCE (includes 2018-19 costs)			\$ 692,032,000	\$ 438,256,000

¹ The projects proposed in this spending plan are contingent upon the passage of the bond bill and will be adjusted depending on the amount and terms of the bond in order to fund highest priority projects in a multi-year bond program.

² Design-Build project.

**CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY COLLEGES
CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE**

1102 Q STREET
SACRAMENTO, CA 95811-6549
(916) 445-8752
<http://www.cccco.edu>



Memorandum

July 1, 2016

FP 16-16
(Via E-Mail Only)

TO: Chief Business Officers
Facilities Directors

FROM: Mario Rodriguez, Vice Chancellor (Acting)
Finance and Facilities Planning Division

SUBJECT: 2016-17 Physical Plant and Instructional Support—Certification and Allocations

The 2016-17 Budget Act provides \$184,565,000 for the Physical Plant and Instructional Support program. Districts have discretion on the distribution of the funds between the physical plant and instructional support components of the program and there is no district match requirement. The Department of Finance has confirmed that the limit for all minor capital outlay projects will be \$656,000, this includes all scheduled maintenance project categories. In addition, water conservation projects are still allowable as part of the program due to the on-going drought.

The Chancellor's Office requested that districts still be allowed to fund water conservation projects from the Physical Plant and Instructional Support budget item and this provision was included in the 2016-17 Budget Act. Eligible projects include replacement of water intensive landscaping with drought tolerant landscaping, synthetic turf, provided that the turf is used only in nonathletic areas, and other non-plant materials; drip or low-flow irrigation systems; building improvements to reduce water usage; and installation of meters for wells to allow for monitoring of water usage.

Allocations

The 2016-17 Physical Plant and Instructional Support allocations for each district are attached to this memo. The funds are allocated based upon each district's 2015-16 total Full-time Equivalent Students as reported at P2.

Expenditure of Funds

The State Controller's Office has confirmed that the budget allows for two years to encumber and an additional two years to expend. For the 2016 Budget Act, the encumbrance date is June 30, 2018 and the expenditure date is June 30, 2020.

*We strongly encourage districts to expend **all** Physical Plant and Instructional Support funds, regardless of the funding year, by **June 30, 2018**. This includes any remaining 2015-16 Physical Plant & Instructional Support funds and amounts from previous years that districts have not yet been expended.*

Districts have documented the need for both scheduled maintenance projects and instructional equipment but if the system is not expending the funds allocated in a timely manner, it will be difficult to advocate for more funding.

Certification Process

To assist with this year's certification process, please find attached the certification form for reporting the district's expenditure plan. The certification form identifies how the district will allocate the funds between physical plant (scheduled maintenance and special repair, architectural barrier removal, hazardous substances abatement, seismic retrofit, and water conservation) and instructional support (instructional equipment and library materials).

ACTION/DATE REQUESTED: Please complete and have the district's Chief Executive Officer sign the enclosed certification form. Maintain a copy for your files. The certification forms are due to the Chancellor's Office by **September 16, 2016**, along with the project funding proposals discussed below:

2016-17 Project Funding Proposals

Project Funding Proposals (PFPs) must be completed and submitted in FUSION (Local Assistance Plan Year 2016-17, SI 14-15) to support districts' spending plans for physical plant projects.

2015-16 (or previous years) Project Funding Proposals

Districts funding projects with funds allocated from previous fiscal years (2015-16 and before) will need to complete the Project Funding Proposal and the Scheduled Maintenance Project List attached. Please contact Sandy Melching at smelching@cccco.edu for further information if the district will be using Physical Plant and Instructional Support funding from previous years.

Instructional Support

At this time, the Chancellor's Office is requesting that districts provide information regarding their instructional support needs. Districts can either complete the Instructional Support 5 Year Plan (attached) or update the workbook submitted by the district last year. If updating last year's workbook, please add a new tab for fiscal year 2021-22.

ACTION/DATE REQUESTED: Please complete the Instructional Support 5 Year Plan workbook with purchases made for FY 15/16, potential purchases for FY 2016/17 using the allocated funds, and FY 2017/18 – 2021/22 Five Year projection of instructional support needs. The due date for the workbook is **December 2, 2016**.

Please do not start the next 2017-18 plan year cycle in FUSION until after the 2016-17 plan year has been certified by our office, as any updates made prior to this certification will not be saved in FUSION.

The Physical Plant & Instructional Support guidelines are attached for your information. Please contact Sandy Melching at (916) 322-8515 or smelching@cccco.edu for assistance with this certification process.

Attachments

California Community Colleges
2016-17 Physical Plant and Instructional Support
Allocations by District

2016-17 PPIS Allocations
based on 2015-16 P2 Total Actual Resident FTES

Allocation
\$ 184,565,000.00

District	Percent of FTES		FY 2016-17 PPIS Allocations
Allan Hancock CCD	0.807%	\$	1,488,692.00
Antelope Valley CCD	1.018%	\$	1,877,966.00
Barstow CCD	0.214%	\$	394,693.00
Butte-Glenn CCD	0.959%	\$	1,770,294.00
Cabrillo CCD	0.909%	\$	1,678,358.00
Cerritos CCD	1.538%	\$	2,838,478.00
Chabot-Las Positas CCD	1.396%	\$	2,576,891.00
Chaffey CCD	1.383%	\$	2,552,549.00
Citrus CCD	1.032%	\$	1,904,643.00
Coast CCD	2.848%	\$	5,255,587.00
Compton CCD	0.529%	\$	976,281.00
Contra Costa CCD	2.476%	\$	4,570,079.00
Copper Mountain CCD	0.129%	\$	238,449.00
Desert CCD	0.714%	\$	1,317,801.00
El Camino CCD	1.706%	\$	3,147,782.00
Feather River CCD	0.142%	\$	262,426.00
Foothill-DeAnza CCD	2.365%	\$	4,364,524.00
Gavilan CCD	0.452%	\$	834,373.00
Glendale CCD	1.214%	\$	2,240,074.00
Grossmont-Cuyamaca CCD	1.637%	\$	3,020,593.00
Hartnell CCD	0.643%	\$	1,187,155.00
Imperial CCD	0.606%	\$	1,118,374.00
Kern CCD	1.787%	\$	3,297,322.00
Lake Tahoe CCD	0.159%	\$	294,175.00
Lassen CCD	0.135%	\$	249,127.00
Long Beach CCD	1.813%	\$	3,346,905.00
Los Angeles CCD	9.375%	\$	17,302,563.00
Los Rios CCD	4.554%	\$	8,405,835.00
Marin CCD	0.326%	\$	602,039.00
Mendocino-Lake CCD	0.222%	\$	409,292.00
Merced CCD	0.840%	\$	1,550,029.00
Mira Costa CCD	0.931%	\$	1,718,858.00
Monterey Peninsula CCD	0.565%	\$	1,043,488.00

California Community Colleges
2016-17 Physical Plant and Instructional Support
Allocations by District

2016-17 PPIS Allocations
based on 2015-16 P2 Total Actual Resident FTES

Allocation
\$ 184,565,000.00

District	Percent of FTES		FY 2016-17 PPIS Allocations
Mt. San Antonio CCD	2.712%	\$	5,004,993.00
Mt. San Jacinto CCD	1.035%	\$	1,909,490.00
Napa Valley CCD	0.488%	\$	901,098.00
North Orange County CCD	3.153%	\$	5,819,474.00
Ohlone CCD	0.692%	\$	1,276,518.00
Palo Verde CCD	0.170%	\$	313,887.00
Palomar CCD	1.450%	\$	2,675,507.00
Pasadena Area CCD	2.029%	\$	3,744,986.00
Peralta CCD	1.702%	\$	3,142,202.00
Rancho Santiago CCD	2.522%	\$	4,654,155.00
Redwoods CCD	0.313%	\$	577,403.00
Rio Hondo CCD	1.094%	\$	2,018,305.00
Riverside CCD	2.482%	\$	4,581,055.00
San Bernardino CCD	1.347%	\$	2,486,519.00
San Diego CCD	3.784%	\$	6,984,120.00
San Francisco CCD	1.912%	\$	3,529,801.00
San Joaquin Delta CCD	1.426%	\$	2,631,850.00
San Jose-Evergreen CCD	1.034%	\$	1,908,145.00
San Luis Obispo County CCD	0.724%	\$	1,337,161.00
San Mateo County CCD	1.528%	\$	2,820,900.00
Santa Barbara CCD	1.151%	\$	2,124,198.00
Santa Clarita CCD	1.384%	\$	2,553,817.00
Santa Monica CCD	1.898%	\$	3,502,764.00
Sequoias CCD	0.820%	\$	1,514,309.00
Shasta-Tehama-Trinity CCD	0.566%	\$	1,044,919.00
Sierra CCD	1.302%	\$	2,402,999.00
Siskiyou CCD	0.253%	\$	466,295.00
Solano County CCD	0.725%	\$	1,338,569.00
Sonoma County CCD	1.686%	\$	3,112,095.00
South Orange County CCD	2.233%	\$	4,120,537.00
Southwestern CCD	1.345%	\$	2,482,572.00
State Center CCD	2.540%	\$	4,688,377.00
Ventura County CCD	2.242%	\$	4,138,099.00

California Community Colleges
2016-17 Physical Plant and Instructional Support
Allocations by District

2016-17 PPIS Allocations
based on 2015-16 P2 Total Actual Resident FTES

Allocation
\$ 184,565,000.00

District	Percent of FTES		FY 2016-17 PPIS Allocations
Victor Valley CCD	0.804%	\$	1,483,551.00
West Hills CCD	0.461%	\$	850,784.00
West Kern CCD	0.223%	\$	411,987.00
West Valley-Mission CCD	1.234%	\$	2,277,618.00
Yosemite CCD	1.446%	\$	2,669,678.00
Yuba CCD	0.666%	\$	1,228,568.00
Totals	100.000%	\$	184,565,000.00

Category	District to State	Project Limit
Scheduled Maintenance/Special Repairs	No Match Requirement	\$656,000
Hazardous Substance Abatement	No Match Requirement	\$656,000
Architectural Barrier Removal	No Match Requirement	\$656,000
Seismic Retrofit	No Match Requirement	\$656,000
Water Conservation	No Match Requirement	\$656,000
Instructional Equipment	No Match Requirement	See Guideline

2016-17 Budget Act

Physical Plant and Instructional Support Summary

The 2016-17 Budget Act provides \$160,738,000 for the Physical Plant and Instructional Support program, while SB 828 provides an additional \$23,827,000 in current year funds. Districts may allocate between the Physical Plant, Instructional Support, and Water Conservation funding in the best interest of their campuses.

State Controller's Office has confirmed that the budget allows for two years to encumber and an additional two years to expend. For the 2016 Budget Act, the encumbrance date is June 30, 2018 and the expenditure date is June 30, 2020.

PROGRAM	MATCH REQUIREMENT State:Local	PROJECT REQUIREMENT
INSTRUCTIONAL SUPPORT		
Instructional Equipment	N/A	See page 36
Library Materials	N/A	See page 36
PHYSICAL PLANT		
Scheduled Maintenance/Special Repairs	N/A	Over \$10,000 and limited to \$656,000
Hazardous Substances	N/A	Over \$10,000 and limited to \$656,000
Architectural Barrier Removal	N/A	Over \$10,000 and limited to \$656,000
Seismic Retrofit	N/A	Over \$10,000 and limited to \$656,000
WATER CONSERVATION¹		
Landscaping/Synthetic Turf	N/A	Over \$10,000 and limited to \$656,000
Drip/Low-flow Irrigation System	N/A	Over \$10,000 and limited to \$656,000
Building Improvements	N/A	Over \$10,000 and limited to \$656,000
Well Meter Installation	N/A	Over \$10,000 and limited to \$656,000

¹ Please see page 40 for instructions on water conservation and how to enter them as Project Funding Proposals.

Auditing

The Chancellor's Office does not perform audits on instructional equipment. District expenditures of the funds shall be reviewed as part of the district's annual contracted audit (pursuant to requirements in the Budget Act). Exceptions to the block grant terms may result in repayment of funds.

Allowable Items

Instructional equipment expenditures are eligible if the equipment, library material, or technology is for classroom instruction, student instruction or demonstration, or in the preparation of learning materials in an instructional program. There are five categories that will be used to classify instructional support. The following are examples but is not limited to what is shown.

1. Equipment and Furniture

Instructional equipment and furniture for primary use by students in instructional programs.

- Classroom/Laboratory equipment
 - i. Whiteboard, Projector screen, Projector, etc.
- Instructional furniture
 - i. Desks, Tables, Podium, etc.
 - ii. Chairs, etc.

2. Information Technology

Instructional information technology equipment for student use in classrooms and/or laboratories.

- Desktops, Laptops
- Monitors
- Printers
- Servers
- Network/Wireless infrastructure
- AV/TV
- Multi-media

3. Software

Software licenses are allowed but only the initial year is permitted. Other permitted software are those that are used in excess of one year and software modifications that add capacity or efficiency to the software that defers obsolescence and results in an extension of the useful life of the software.

- Registration
- Counseling
- Student services
- Learning Management Systems for student use

4. Adaptive Equipment

Adaptive equipment for ADA/OCR students are allowed to assist them in a learning environment. Please see the Definitions Section in the Appendix for examples.

5. Library Material

- Databases
- Online subscriptions
- Books, Periodicals, Videos, etc.

Non-allowable Items: Administrative or Non-instructional Purposes

Equipment being used for administrative or non-instructional purposes is not allowed. The following equipment is not allowed:

- Photocopiers
- File cabinets
- Bookcases
- Computers
- Networking infrastructure
- Software licenses

**CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY COLLEGES
CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE**

1102 Q STREET, SUITE 4550
SACRAMENTO, CA 95811-6549
(916) 445-8752

<http://www.cccco.edu>



Memorandum

July 11, 2016

**FP 16-17
Via E-Mail Only**

To: Chief Business Officers
Facilities Directors

From: Mario Rodriguez, Vice Chancellor (Acting)
Finance and Facilities Planning Division

Subject: 2016-17 Proposition 39 Allocations

Please find attached the districts' 2016-17 Proposition 39 allocations for energy projects totaling \$41.9 million. The allocations are substantially higher than the \$32.7 million allocated in 2015 for energy conservation projects. This is great news and will allow for more energy projects on community college campuses during the 2016-17 fiscal year.

Most districts will need to revise their Form Bs based on their new, higher Proposition 39 allocation. Our goal is still to release the bulk of the Proposition 39 funds in July and August 2016.

Please work with your utility representative and Newcomb Anderson McCormick (NAM) to revise Form Bs for projects already submitted or to add new projects. The contact information for NAM is as follows:

- Steve Clarke, Southern California - (415) 699-9310
- Lance Kincaid, Northern California - (415) 230-8411
- Matt Sullivan, Principal - (415) 230-8404

Please contact Hoang Nguyen at hnguyen@ccco.edu or (916) 327-5363 if you have any questions.

Attachments

California Community Colleges
2016-17 Proposition 39
Energy Efficiency and Renewable Generation
Project Allocations by District

2016-17 Prop 39 Allocations
based on 2015-16 P2 Total Actual Resident FTES

Allocation
\$ 41,875,000.00

District	Percent of FTES	FY 2016-17 P39 Allocations
Allan Hancock CCD	0.807%	\$ 337,762.00
Antelope Valley CCD	1.018%	\$ 426,082.00
Barstow CCD	0.214%	\$ 89,550.00
Butte-Glenn CCD	0.959%	\$ 401,653.00
Cabrillo CCD	0.909%	\$ 380,794.00
Cerritos CCD	1.538%	\$ 644,008.00
Chabot-Las Positas CCD	1.396%	\$ 584,658.00
Chaffey CCD	1.383%	\$ 579,135.00
Citrus CCD	1.032%	\$ 432,135.00
Coast CCD	2.848%	\$ 1,192,413.00
Compton CCD	0.529%	\$ 221,503.00
Contra Costa CCD	2.476%	\$ 1,036,882.00
Copper Mountain CCD	0.129%	\$ 54,100.00
Desert CCD	0.714%	\$ 298,989.00
El Camino CCD	1.706%	\$ 714,184.00
Feather River CCD	0.142%	\$ 59,541.00
Foothill-DeAnza CCD	2.365%	\$ 990,244.00
Gavilan CCD	0.452%	\$ 189,306.00
Glendale CCD	1.214%	\$ 508,239.00
Grossmont-Cuyamaca CCD	1.637%	\$ 685,327.00
Hartnell CCD	0.643%	\$ 269,347.00
Imperial CCD	0.606%	\$ 253,742.00
Kern CCD	1.787%	\$ 748,112.00
Lake Tahoe CCD	0.159%	\$ 66,744.00
Lassen CCD	0.135%	\$ 56,523.00
Long Beach CCD	1.813%	\$ 759,362.00
Los Angeles CCD	9.375%	\$ 3,925,689.00
Los Rios CCD	4.554%	\$ 1,907,157.00
Marin CCD	0.326%	\$ 136,593.00
Mendocino-Lake CCD	0.222%	\$ 92,862.00
Merced CCD	0.840%	\$ 351,678.00
Mira Costa CCD	0.931%	\$ 389,983.00
Monterey Peninsula CCD	0.565%	\$ 236,752.00
Mt. San Antonio CCD	2.712%	\$ 1,135,557.00
Mt. San Jacinto CCD	1.035%	\$ 433,234.00
Napa Valley CCD	0.488%	\$ 204,445.00
North Orange County CCD	3.153%	\$ 1,320,350.00

California Community Colleges
2016-17 Proposition 39
Energy Efficiency and Renewable Generation
Project Allocations by District

2016-17 Prop 39 Allocations
based on 2015-16 P2 Total Actual Resident FTES

Allocation
\$ 41,875,000.00

District	Percent of FTES	FY 2016-17 P39 Allocations
Ohlone CCD	0.692%	\$ 289,623.00
Palo Verde CCD	0.170%	\$ 71,216.00
Palomar CCD	1.450%	\$ 607,032.00
Pasadena Area CCD	2.029%	\$ 849,681.00
Peralta CCD	1.702%	\$ 712,918.00
Rancho Santiago CCD	2.522%	\$ 1,055,957.00
Redwoods CCD	0.313%	\$ 131,004.00
Rio Hondo CCD	1.094%	\$ 457,923.00
Riverside CCD	2.482%	\$ 1,039,372.00
San Bernardino CCD	1.347%	\$ 564,154.00
San Diego CCD	3.784%	\$ 1,584,591.00
San Francisco CCD	1.912%	\$ 800,858.00
San Joaquin Delta CCD	1.426%	\$ 597,127.00
San Jose-Evergreen CCD	1.034%	\$ 432,929.00
San Luis Obispo County CCD	0.724%	\$ 303,381.00
San Mateo County CCD	1.528%	\$ 640,020.00
Santa Barbara CCD	1.151%	\$ 481,948.00
Santa Clarita CCD	1.384%	\$ 579,422.00
Santa Monica CCD	1.898%	\$ 794,724.00
Sequoias CCD	0.820%	\$ 343,574.00
Shasta-Tehama-Trinity CCD	0.566%	\$ 237,076.00
Sierra CCD	1.302%	\$ 545,204.00
Siskiyou CCD	0.253%	\$ 105,795.00
Solano County CCD	0.725%	\$ 303,701.00
Sonoma County CCD	1.686%	\$ 706,087.00
South Orange County CCD	2.233%	\$ 934,887.00
Southwestern CCD	1.345%	\$ 563,258.00
State Center CCD	2.540%	\$ 1,063,722.00
Ventura County CCD	2.242%	\$ 938,872.00
Victor Valley CCD	0.804%	\$ 336,595.00
West Hills CCD	0.461%	\$ 193,030.00
West Kern CCD	0.223%	\$ 93,474.00
West Valley-Mission CCD	1.234%	\$ 516,757.00
Yosemite CCD	1.446%	\$ 605,710.00
Yuba CCD	0.666%	\$ 278,743.00
Totals	100.000%	\$ 41,875,000.00

CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY COLLEGES
SUMMARY OF PROPOSITION 39 ENERGY PROJECTS YEARS 1 THROUGH 3

Summary of Year 1 (2013 – 2014)

- \$39.8 million in project funding has been allocated for 294 projects in 72 districts
 - 69 districts have installed projects
 - 13 districts have initiated project close-out
 - 56 districts have completed the close-out process
 - 251 projects installed to date
 - 81 projects initiated project close-out
 - 170 projects completed the close-out process
- \$31.7M kilowatt-hours annual savings
- 515K therms annual savings
- \$5.6 million total one-time utility incentives
- Energy Cost Savings: \$4.5 million in energy cost savings
- **Zero** Proposition 39 funds remaining.

Summary of Year 2 (2014 – 2015)

- \$31.59 million in project funding has been allocated for 229 projects in 72 districts
 - 51 districts have installed projects
 - 19 districts have initiated project close-out
 - 32 districts have completed the close-out process
 - 124 projects installed to date
 - 47 projects initiated project close-out
 - 77 projects completed the close-out process
- \$21.5M kilowatt-hours annual savings
- 586K therms annual savings
- \$4.4 million total one-time utility incentives
- Energy Cost Savings: \$3.2 million in energy cost savings
- **\$4,690** of Proposition 39 funds remaining

Summary of Year 3 (2015 – 2016)

- \$28.74 million in project funding has been allocated for 152 projects in 66 districts
 - 7 districts have installed projects
 - 6 districts have initiated project close-out
 - 1 district has completed the close-out process
 - 12 projects installed to date
 - 10 projects initiated project close-out
 - 2 projects completed the close-out process
- \$13.2M kilowatt-hours annual savings
- 157K therms annual savings
- \$1.8 million total one-time utility incentives
- Energy Cost Savings: \$2.0 million in energy cost savings
- \$3.93 M of Proposition 39 funds remaining

Proposition 39

Energy Project Category Descriptions

Lighting – lighting fixture retrofits that replace older, inefficient lighting systems with newer, more energy efficient technologies. This could include projects such as LED exterior and interior replacement projects, high efficiency fluorescent fixtures (T-8 and T-5 fluorescent tubes), and lighting controls such as occupancy sensors, timers, and daylight harvesting controls.

HVAC – Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning projects that improve space conditioning energy efficiency and improve indoor air quality. Project examples include installing new, efficient Central Plant hot and chilled water HVAC systems, replacement of individual package AC units with higher efficiency models, and modern air handling systems that introduce outside air into building systems to improve efficiency and air quality.

Controls – installing or upgrading building Energy Management Systems (EMS) or connecting building systems operations into existing Energy Management Systems (lighting, HVAC) thus providing technicians increased opportunity to monitor, control, and reduce building energy use.

Retro commissioning (RCx) – improving building energy performance by evaluating building equipment and systems condition and operations, and identifying changes in operating procedures, hours of operations of equipment (lighting or HVAC), or repairs necessary to equipment to ensure more energy efficient operations. Retro commissioning is generally performed on a building that has been in use for several years with a goal of returning it to design conditions.

Monitoring Based Commissioning (MBCx) – very similar to Retro commissioning, except that energy meters are installed on each building to measure an energy use baseline and then to verify energy savings after commissioning activities are accomplished. The metering aids in the persistence of energy savings over time as ongoing usage can be monitored by building operators.

Self-generation – on-campus energy generation reducing the reliance of the college on utility energy delivery and provides a more green power source. For Proposition 39, this has consisted of solar electric photovoltaic projects thus far, but other clean self-generation project would qualify, including co-generation which produces electricity as well as waste heat utilization for domestic water or pool heating.

Other – an energy project that does not fall into any of these major categories, such as demand reduction or load shifting.

Technical Assistance – a district may use Proposition 39 funds to pay for energy planning or energy audits to help identify qualifying projects for implementation. This provides a more strategic approach for energy efficiency, and can help districts identify more comprehensive, deep energy savings projects if low hanging fruit is already accomplished.

**CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY COLLEGES
CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE**

1102 Q STREET, STE. 4554
SACRAMENTO, CA 95811-6549
(916) 445-8752
<http://www.cccco.edu>

**MEMORANDUM****FP16-18****Via E-Mail Only****August 2, 2016**

To: Chief Business Officers
Facilities Planners

From: Mario Rodriguez, Vice Chancellor
Finance and Facilities Planning Division

Subject: 2016-17 Reverting Capital Outlay Project Balances

This memorandum is a reminder to districts with state funded capital outlay projects that are scheduled to revert on June 30, 2017. Approximately \$5.9 million in unclaimed capital outlay project funding remains. In order to allow sufficient time for claims processing please submit your reimbursement claims to us **no later than April 7, 2017**, although earlier submission of these claims is always appreciated.

The attached list reflects the project phases that will revert on June 30, 2017 and the current unexpended balances based on the district's last submission of reimbursement claims processed by the Facilities Planning Unit as of July 15, 2016. Please review your district's projects on the list for accuracy or discrepancies.

If you know in advance that you're not going to expend all of the remaining funds on your project, please send us an email immediately. The earlier you notify us, the quicker we can redirect those unused funds to another project. For those projects that have been completed and all claims finalized, please remember to comply with the State Capital Outlay Project Completion Reporting requirements. For additional information concerning completion reporting please contact the assigned Specialist for your district.

Contact: If you have any questions or problems regarding this matter, please contact Sandy Melching at smelching@cccco.edu telephone (916) 322-8515 or Cheryl Larry at clarry@cccco.edu telephone (916) 327-5358.

Attachment

CAPITAL OUTLAY REVERTING APPROPRIATIONS
WORKING DRAWINGS(W), CONSTRUCTION(C) & EQUIPMENT(E) PHASE PROJECTS
 Reversion Date: June 30, 2017

DISTRICT	F.Y.	PROJECT PHASES (S)	FUNDING YEAR/PROJECT DESCRIPTION	BUDGET ITEM #	PROJECT PHASE AMOUNT	UNEXPENDED BALANCES	FUNDS REMAINING
			2010-11 Reverting Appropriations				
Gavilan CCD-Eric							
Gavilan College	2010	C	<i>Replacement Water Supply System</i>	303-6049(1)40.17.111	\$5,618,000	\$357,974.98	6%
			2012-13 Reverting Appropriations				
Glendale CCD-Lan							
Glendale College	2012	CE	<i>Laboratory/College Services Building</i>	301-6049(1)40.18.124	\$37,481,000	\$2,900,419.22	8%
			2014-15 Reverting Appropriations				
Redwood CCD-Harold							
College Of The Redwoods	2014	PW	<i>Utility Infrastructure Replacement/ Seismic Strengthening</i>	301-6049(3)40.42.108	\$3,412,000	\$1,442,756.00	42%
Rio Hondo CCD-Lan							
Rio Hondo College	2014	PW	<i>L Tower Seismic and Code Upgrades</i>	301-6049(3.5)40.43.110	\$1,849,000	\$390,739.46	21%
Santa Barbara CCD-Eric							
Santa Barbara City College	2014	W	<i>Campus Center Seismic & Code Upgrades</i>	301-6049(4)40.53.127	\$772,000	\$772,000.00	100%
				Total	\$46,511,000	\$5,863,889.66	13%
TOTAL CAPITAL OUTLAY PROJECTS							
Projects Unexpended Balance as of July 15, 2015							
6049 Funds	\$5,863,889.66						
Total Reverting Funds	\$5,863,889.66						